

<http://www.luju.ro/isprava-lui-tudoran-a-trecut-hotarele-dezvaluirea-luju-privind-motivarea-scrisa-de-fostul-judecator-bogdan-tudoran-dupa-demisie-cand-era-internat-la-psihiatrie-a-ajuns-in-presa-straina-eureporter-co-aceasta-intamplare-in-care-un-om-care-nu-mai-este-judeca>



**ISPRAVA LUI TUDORAN A TRECUT
HOTARELE – Dezvaluirea Luju privind
motivarea scrisa de fostul judecator
Bogdan Tudoran dupa demisie, cand
era internat la psihiatrie, a ajuns in
presa straina. Eureporter.co: „Aceasta
intamplare, in care un om care nu mai
este judecator redacteaza o motivare
dintr-un spital de psihiatrie, iar
motivarea se bazeaza pe cele scrise
deja de procuror, va diminua si mai
mult increderea in sistemul judiciar
romanesc si va submina rationamentul
juridic din dosarul Baneasa”**

Scris de V.B. | Data: 26.02.2020 13:30



A ajuns in presa straina dezvaluirea facuta de Lumea Justitiei in legatura cu scandaloasa motivare redactata de **fostul judecator CAB Corneliu-Bogdan Ion-Tudoran (foto 1)** in latura civila a dosarului Baneasa, chiar in timp ce se afla internat intr-un spital de psihiatrie ([click aici pentru a citi](#)). Marti, 25 februarie 2020, site-ul belgian [Eureporter.co](#) a relatat nu doar despre motivarea de la psihiatrie, ci si despre felul in care acelasi Tudoran a inserat cu copy-paste in document ample pasaje din rechizitoriul intocmit de catre **procurorul ex-DNA Nicolae Marin (foto 2)**, **seful interimar al SIIJ**. Amintim ca acelasi judecator Bogdan Tudoran a solutionat latura penala a procesului Baneasa, impartind in stanga si-n dreapta ani de puscarie.

De altfel, tot Eureporter.co remarca tergiversarea dosarului de la Sectia pentru investigarea infractiunilor din justitie unde Nicolae Marin ar trebui sa-l ancheteze pe Bogdan Tudoran si pune aceasta tergiversare pe seama recunostintei pe care Marin i-ar purta-o lui Tudoran pentru diverse condamnari date de judecator in dosare instrumentate de procuror pe vremea cand acesta activa la DNA.

Redam traducerea articolului din EUREporter.co (vezi facsimil):

„Documente dintr-o instanta romaneasca, scrise de un fost judecator internat la psihiatrie

Luna aceasta, au sporit ingrijorarile legate de sistemul de justitie romanesc, dupa ce s-a aflat ca motivarea unei hotarari judecatoresti date de Curtea de Apel Bucuresti cu privire la celebrul proiect Baneasa a fost scrisa de catre

fostul judecator Corneliu-Bogdan Ion-Tudoran dintr-un spital de psihiatrie, dupa ce acesta se retrasese din activitate. O comparatie in oglinda a documentelor (n.r. site-ul da link catre articolul Luju „**Tudoran a baut din sifonul lui Marin**” – [click aici pentru a citi](#)) arata ca mari parti din motivare au fost copiate dintr-un rechizitoriu scris de catre procurorul Nicolae Marin, in prezent seful (n.r. adjunctul si seful interimar al) Sectiei pentru investigarea infractiunilor din justitie (SIJ).

Inserarea fragmentelor cu copy-paste dintr-un document in celalalt a fost dezvaluita de publicatia romaneasca Lumea Justitiei. Aceasta a explicat in ce fel fostul judecator a decis in noiembrie 2019 (in timp ce se afla internat intr-un spital de psihiatrie si nu mai detinea functia de magistrat, demisionand cu doua luni inainte, la 20 septembrie 2019) sa motiveze sentinta nr. 267F pe care o pronuntase la 28 decembrie 2018 in dosarul nr. 4445/2/2016 privind cazul Baneasa. Au aparut ingrijorari cu privire la faptul ca Ion-Tudoran – desi nu mai avea capacitatea de a judeca si desi doctorii ii recomandaseră sa nu depuna 'efort intelectual' – a decis totusi sa motiveze sentinta din cazul Baneasa. In plus, este alarmant si faptul ca mari pasaje au fost in mod clar copiate din rechizitoriul DNA intocmit de catre procurorul Nicolae Marin, pe vremea cand acesta lucra la DNA.

Din cate se intelege, Ion-Tudoran ceruse sa fie internat la spitalul de psihiatrie pentru a evita arestul. Se pare ca Ion-Tudoran se afla sub protectia lui Nicolae Marin ca rasplata pentru mai multe hotarari pronuntate de judecator in dosare instrumentate de Marin. In mod sigur, dupa cate vedem, Tudoran are parte de tratament foarte ingaduitor din partea SIJ.



Abuzurile care ar fi avut loc in dosarul Baneasa vin pe fondul unor acuzatii grave formulate la adresa integritatii DNA. Acestea includ o serie de filmari publicate de Sebastian Ghita – patron de presa si fost deputat PSD. Ghita a sustinut ca DNA lucreaza in tandem cu SRI pentru a manipula sistemul judiciar in beneficiul ambelor institutii.

DNA a recunoscut ca se bazeaza pe 20.000 de interceptari furnizate de SRI. Generalul SRI Dumitru Dumbrava a declarat in presa ca serviciul sau de informatii intervine in sistemul judiciar, pe care il considera un 'camp tactic' de operatiuni. Documente oficiale obtinute de Uniunea Nationala a Judecatorilor din Romania demonstreaza ca ofiteri SRI lucreaza in echipe mixte cu procurori DNA fara baza legala. Procurorul Nicolae Marin este foarte cunoscut in Romania pentru legaturile pe care le are cu generalii SRI Dumitru Dumbrava si Florian Coldea.

Aceasta intamplare recenta (in care, dupa cate vedem, un om care nu mai este judecator redacteaza o motivare a instantei dintr-un spital de psihiatrie, iar aceasta motivare, de fapt, se bazeaza pe cele scrise deja de procuror) va diminua si mai mult increderea in sistemul judiciar romanesc si va submina rationamentul juridic din dosarul Baneasa".



VIDEO NEWS	FEATURED VIDEOS	ONLINE TV	ONLINE RADIO	BREXIT	O		
POLITICS	DEFENCE	ECONOMY	ENERGY	ENVIRONMENT	EDUCATION	HEALTH	HUMAN

#Romanian court documents written by a former judge residing as a patient in a psychiatric unit

James Wilson | February 25, 2020



Concerns about the Romanian justice system deepened this month, as it emerged that the “motivation” for a judgment at the Bucharest Court of Appeal regarding the high profile Baneasa Project, was written by former judge Corneliu-Bogdan Ion-Tudoran from a psychiatric unit after he was no longer a serving judge. A **side-by-side comparison** of the papers show that large sections of the report had been lifted from an indictment which had been written by prosecutor Nicolae Marin, currently the head of the Special Section for Investigating Crimes in the Judicial System (SIJCO).



The ‘cut and paste’ from one document to the other was revealed in the Romanian publication Lumea Justitiei. It explained how the former judge decided in November 2019 – while he was admitted to a psychiatric care facility and no longer held the capacity of magistrate, having resigned two months before, on September 20, 2019 – to submit to the court the reasoning of Sentence no. 267F that he had delivered on December 28, 2018 in case no. 4445/2/2016 relating to the Baneasa case.

Concerns have been raised about the fact Ion-Tudoran, although he no longer held the capacity of judge and although the doctors had advised him against “intellectual activity”, decided to submit his reasoning for sentencing in the Baneasa case. Additionally, the fact that large passages were so clearly lifted from the National Anticorruption Directorate (DNA) indictment written by Prosecutor Nicolae Marin when he worked at the DNA, is also alarming.

It is understood that Mr Ion-Tudoran was staying at the psychiatric facility, having requested to be placed there in order to avoid arrest. There are claims that Mr Ion-Tudoran is under the protection of Nicolae Marin as a reward for decisions that he took in cases that Mr Marin was investigating. Certainly it would appear he is receiving especially lenient treatment from SIJCO.

The abuses that appear to have taken place in the Baneasa case are set against a backdrop of serious allegations made against the integrity of the DNA. These include a series of videos released by Sebastian Ghita, a media owner and former Social Democratic Party parliamentarian. Ghita claimed that the DNA works in tandem with the domestic intelligence service (SRI) to manipulate the judicial system to their mutual advantage.

The DNA has admitted that it relies on 20,000 wiretaps provided by the SRI. SRI General Dumitru Dumbrava went on record to admit that his agency intervenes in the judicial system and regards it as a “tactical field” of operations. Official papers obtained by the National Union of Judges of Romania show that SRI officers work in mixed teams with DNA prosecutors without proper legal authority. Prosecutor Nicolae Marin is widely known in Romania for having links with General Dumbrava and Florian Coldea of the SRI.

This latest episode, in which it seems a man who is no longer a judge is filing ‘motivations’ to court from a psychiatric unit and that his motivation was essentially scripted by the Prosecutor, will further diminish faith in the Romanian justice system and undermine the ruling in the Baneasa case.

