

<http://www.luju.ro/we-are-the-dna-63-of-judges-and-60-of-prosecutors-in-romania-were-under-penal-investigation>



„We are the DNA!”: 63% of judges and 60% of prosecutors in Romania were under penal investigation

Scris de L.J. | Data: 27.08.2018 14:16



The trustworthy partnership guaranteed via blackmail. An official press release of the Judiciary Inspection from August 24 2018 revealed that during January 1 2014 – July 30 2018, the DNA – under the leadership of Laura Codruța Kovesi – investigated 1965 cases regarding a total number of 3420 magistrates, consisting of 2193 judges and 1227 prosecutors.

Numbers speak louder than words: 63% of judges and 60% of prosecutors in Romania were officially

investigated (without that fact becoming public knowledge, though) for presumed acts of corruption by the famous and terrible National Directorate of Anticorruption (DNA).

And that based only on “reasonable suspicion”. “Reasonable” for fanatics of “political police”, consisting of the most retrograde circles of the secret services that had their „armed hand of terror” in the DNA. And, of course, without having any evidence, because suspicion doesn’t need to be backed up by evidence. “Revolutionary vigilance” is enough.

What good is evidence for? Everyone is guilty anyway! Some of them the DNA is already dealing with; others, the DNA hasn’t gotten around to yet.

So the “trustworthy partnership”, publicly recognized and strengthened the occult way by secret protocols between DNA prosecutors, SRI agents, and judges at every level (particularly those at ÎCCJ and the Courts of Appeal) and confirmed by confidential commitments to confidentiality (sic!) signed by magistrates – hideous in itself –, was already guaranteed via blackmail – the absolute hideousness.

Rudolph Giuliani send a letter to Romanian President Klaus Iohannis.

RUDOLPH W. GIULIANI
Giuliani Partners
445 Park Avenue, 18th Floor
New York, NY 10022

Klaus Iohannis
President of Romania
Palatul Cotroceni
bd. Geniului 1-3
Bucharest, Romania

August 22, 2018

Dear President Iohannis:

I am writing to express my concerns about continuing damage to the rule of law in Romania being done under the guise of effective law enforcement. This damage directly and negatively affects the flow of foreign direct investment in Romania, because no rational investor would invest assets in a place where the rule of law and the legal system are subject to manipulation by those in power.

Prior to my election as the Mayor of New York City, I served as a high-ranking official in the United States Justice Department, including as the leader of its pre-eminent prosecuting office in New York City. Over many years as a prosecutor, I personally investigated and prosecuted, or led the prosecution, of a large number of public corruption schemes, resulting in the convictions of numerous public officials.

Since the fall of Nicolae Ceausescu in 1989, Romania has made important strides toward enforcement of laws in accordance with due process and the rule of law. Those strides, however, have been severely undermined by the excesses of Romania's National Anticorruption Directorate ("DNA") under its former Chief, Laura Codruta Kovesi, including: intimidation of judges, defense lawyers, and witnesses; unconstitutional phone tapping; forced confessions; and, unfair judicial processes.


In addition to the DNA's excesses made in the name of "law enforcement," we have been saddened to learn over the past few months about the existence of "secret protocols" that various public institutions have signed with the Romanian Intelligence Service ("SRI"). They include secret protocols with: Romania's High Court of Cassation and Justice; the Prosecutor's Office Attached to the High Court of Cassation and Justice; and, the Superior Council of Magistracy. Signatories to the secret protocols include: Laura Codruta Kovesi on behalf of the Prosecutor's Office Attached to the High Court of Cassation and Justice; and George-Cristian Maior, currently serving as Romania's Ambassador to the United States, on behalf of the SRI.

I recognize and understand the importance of vigorously fighting corruption. To that end, I encourage Romania to reaffirm its commitment to investigate and prosecute corruption fairly, transparently, and in accordance with the rule of law. An amnesty should be given to those who have been prosecuted and convicted through the excesses of the DNA since the implementation of the secret protocols, including many innocent people who have been sent to jail. I also urge the Government of Romania to establish an independent commission of international judges and legal experts, to examine the origins of the secret protocols and their impact on the General Prosecutor's Office and judges across Romania. Based on the results of its work, the independent commission could make specific recommendations to strengthen the rule of law in Romania and reform Romania's penal code in order to prevent the recurrence of such excesses.

The Government of Romania must also ensure that judges are not intimidated by the DNA or the SRI. Accordingly, there is a need to identify the hundreds of open DNA files on judges -- as described recently by a Deputy Chief of the DNA -- to determine the names of the judges, the allegations and origins of allegations against them, and the timeline and duration of the files. Finally, offices of professional responsibility should be established for the General Prosecutor's Office, the DNA, the SRI, and any other office as recommended by the independent commission, to set proper standards of conduct and accountability, as well as to investigate complaints against them.

Romania must make clear that it remains committed to due process, transparency, and the rule of law. This will require a correction of the many abuses of the DNA and the SRI and should include an amnesty for suspect prosecutions and convictions. Such a commitment to the rule of law will also improve investors' outlook towards investing in Romania's future.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Rudolph W. Giuliani". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name being the most prominent.

Rudolph W. Giuliani, Esq.

Rudolph Giuliani sent a letter to president Klaus Iohannis in which he is giving a punch to the Deep State

So 63% of judges and 60% of prosecutors in Romania knew that if they didn't align themselves with DNA's view and didn't submit to its demands, they would end up on the prosecuted bench at best – which basically meant losing their magistrate status – and in jail at worst – which often equates to a death penalty. Magistrates that bothered DNA had a civil-life death to look forward to, in any case; a physical death, in case of “necessity”.

The case of Judge Stan Musta?? isn't only loud and clear, but also terrifying.

President of Bucharest's Court of Appeals penal department, he'd accepted all terrible things did by the DNA and SRI, under threat of being accused for some mere humanly misconduct.

He'd kept quiet about the existence of the protocols which made judges into puppets-on-strings of the "political police" on the tactical field of destroying inconvenient Romanian elites.

He'd accepted signing commitments through which judges become obligated to judge based on evidence held secret from defendants and their lawyers.

After confirming the making of some "dedicated" court arrangements, he was ready to accept the DNA's indictments without "going into detail" or getting tripped up by the defense's "excessive" demands.

He'd ruled over the judicial "execution platoons" entering the stage by breaking all rules regarding random distribution of cases.

All of that until one day, when he was asked to "kill" by his own hand people he knew to be innocent. Until then, the assassins had been others. He had at most allowed guilty people to get off easy or had been harsher on them, on a case by case basis.

But to find guilty people of whose innocence you're sure, against whom there was no evidence – be it circumstantial – and whose innocence is so obvious, solely based on some so-called "logical-judicial" speculations of the prosecution and on indications of opportunity from the counter-espionage service, that was too much.

He tried to get out of it via the subterfuge of abstention. But the mafia doesn't accept something like that. You either kill or get killed. **The request for abstention and being replaced by another judge was denied and Stan Musta?? was forced to be part of the trial.**

With a guilty conscience, over a glass of wine, he confessed that he can't destroy the lives of people whose blame couldn't be proven, as the DNA had sent them to trial before him. He knew he was a sinner, but not a reprobate. He wasn't claiming to be blameless; but he couldn't accept to be an assassin for hire.

Since the discussion was intercepted, Judge Stan Mustata was arrested and not willy-nilly but when entering the courthouse; he was found guilty by judicial staging for an "exemplary" punishment of 8 years and a half in jail and then assassinated – literally! – during detention.

He'd confessed to some cellmates that after getting released, which was coming up, he wanted to blow the whistle.

DNA'S message was clear: who does like Mustata, like Mustata will perish!

The Mustata case was an extreme and well-known one. But how many other similar cases are less well-known or even anonymous?

Limited to moral assassination only, by comparison, the case of the former President of the civil department of the ICCJ – eminent judge Florin Costiniu – was a happy one. The man only got 1 year and a half of jail-time with a suspended sentence, and left magistrate life. But the list is much longer.

In fact, efficient blackmail isn't that which ends with an arrest and sentencing of the blackmailed, but with the arrest and sentencing of some third parties – which were selected to be as such – by the blackmailed.

It's already terrifying enough to know that there's a connection between the one that's judging your case and the one that is prosecuting you in it.

To find out that the prosecutor who's indicting you and the judge who's processing your case are either under penal investigation or might go under penal investigation by the DNA – so the institution that's accusing you – is unbearable.

Where would such an act of justice lead to? What sort of confidence could you have in it?

How can such justice be a coagulating factor for society and a guarantee of the rule of law?

DNA got guilty verdicts by threatening 63% of judges and 60% of prosecutors in Romania that, if they didn't ratify the synthesized political agenda in evidence-less indictments or those with forged evidence, the hounds of penal action would be set on them and they'd go to jail themselves.

It must be added that to just-as-rough a treatment were subjected judiciary experts too, about which there's less talk, as of yet.

So we can understand why DNA, protected by the General Prosecutor of the PICCJ and even the President of Romania, vehemently resisted establishing an autonomous unit of the Prosecutor's Office that would investigate magistrate crimes. That way, the DNA had fewer options for accusing of imaginary corruption those magistrates which didn't support them or accept their indictments.

While being under penal investigation, 63% of judges and 60% of prosecutors in Romania were working on judiciary cases of Romanian citizens that weren't magistrates. **These citizens were thereby victims of the fancy, ambitions, and abuses of the DNA. They were, and they still are.**

63% and 60%!

These numbers aren't made-up. They were officially made public by the Judiciary Inspection; they got them from the DNA itself, finally cited to declassify them.

These numbers speak way louder than words.

They show just how reprobate are the neo-fascist attack battalions crying in hysterics “DNA should come and get you!”.

How big of a liar is the part of the press that glorifies the DNA and launches inept warnings about the danger of limiting its “independence” – to be read as “limiting its ability to abuse, blackmail, corrupt, and kill” – that would let the “corrupt” get away with it!

How subversive is the support for DNA’s criminal activity, given by embassies of states which are officially our friends.

63% and 60%

The only way to translate these numbers into words is through reciting those read by Dante at the Gates of Hell: “Abandon hope, all ye who enter here!” In short: “We are the DNA!”

P.S.: But what are we going to do about those who have been convicted, killed, destroyed?

[Sursa: qmagazine.ro](http://qmagazine.ro)